	Case 2:03-cv-00092-RSL Document 45 Filed 01/20/06 Page 1 of 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
7	
8	MICHAEL C. RODGERS, et al.,
9	Plaintiffs,) No. C03-0092L
10	v.) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S) MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
11	THE UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD) OVERLENGTH RESPONSE COMPANY,)
12	Defendant.
13)
14	This matter comes before the Court on "Plaintiff's Motion to File Overlength Brief
15 16	in Opposition to Defendant's Summary Judgment Motion." Having reviewed defendant's
17	motion for summary judgment, the Court finds that plaintiff should be able to respond
18	adequately in the 24 pages allotted under Local Civil Rule 7(e). Plaintiff's motion is, therefore,
19	DENIED.
20	DATED this 20th day of January, 2006.
21	211122 and 20th any of various, 2000.
22	MARS COMMIT
23	MMS (asmik) Robert S. Lasnik
24	United States District Judge
25	
26	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'
	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH RESPONSE